

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/749,335	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NICHANI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> John B. Strege	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

**All Participants:**

(1) John B. Strege.

(2) Brian Michaelis.

**Date of Interview:** 8/29/07

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*Double Patenting*

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*The Examiner proposed to the Applicant filing a terminal disclaimer to overcome the double patenting rejection and expedite the prosecution of the case. The Attorney for the Applicant agreed to do so.*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**MATTHEW C. BELLA**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
--------------------------	---